

Commodity Area: Container Management
Functional Area: Container Management
Analyzed By: (b)(6)

Total Discrepancies: Seven

1. Has a Container Control Officer (CCO) been appointed in writing? (DoD 4500.9-R, Definitions, Paragraph 166; MCO 4690.1A, Chapter 1, Paragraph 1 and Chapter 2 Paragraph 3.a.1-2). LOE: Miscellaneous Accounting

No

The Headquarters Battery CCO was not aware that the Communication Company and Communication Detachment were within his purview. Therefore, the outlying sites were assigned with their own CCO.

2 of 3 records reviewed during the analysis were discrepant for one or more causes.

The cause(s) is/are listed below and are followed by an explanation of the discrepant record(s).

1 record(s): The CCO was not appointed by the CO or delegated representative.

(b)(6) (appointed by the Company Commander)

1 record(s): The CCO was not of sufficient rank to hold the billet.

(b)(6)

2. Are owner and location DODAAC's accurately reported in the DoD ISO container registry? (CDR USTRansCom Message 081931Z MAY 17; DoD 4500.9-R, Chapter 603, Paragraph C.2 and Chapter 605, Paragraph G.5; MCO 4690.1A, Chapter 2, Paragraph 3.f.2). LOE: Miscellaneous Accounting

No

The Headquarters Battery CCO was not aware that the Communication Company and Communication Detachment, International Organization for Standardization (ISO) containers are within the unit's Accountable Property System of Record (APSR). Therefore, the owner Department of Defense Address Code (DoDAAC) were reported as M14004 vice M14301 within Joint Container Management (JCM) System.

6 of 86 records reviewed during the analysis were discrepant for one or more causes.

The cause(s) is/are listed below and are followed by an explanation of the discrepant record(s).

6 record(s): The Owner DoDAAC was not accurate.

USMC5071553, USMC5071569, USMC5071595, USMC5071635, USMC5071640, USMC5071656

3. Is the physical location accurately reported in the DoD ISO Registry? (DoD 4500.9-R, Chapter 605, Paragraph G.6; MCO 4690.1A, Chapter 2 Paragraph 3.f.2.c). LOE: Miscellaneous Accounting

No

The CCO was aware of the physical location of the ISO container. The lack of attention to detail when recording the physical location within JCM led to the discrepancy.

1 of 86 records reviewed during the analysis was discrepant for one or more causes.

The cause(s) is/are listed below and are followed by an explanation of the discrepant record.

1 record(s): The CCO did not report an accurate physical location.

USMU0036619

4. Does the unit have personnel appointed to inspect or re-inspect International Organization for Standardization containers? (DoD 4500.9-R, Chapter 604, Paragraph C.1; MCO 4690.1A, Chapter 2, Paragraph 3.h.1).
LOE: Miscellaneous Accounting

Yes

3 records reviewed during the analysis were compliant with current directives.

5. Do the appointed personnel charged with inspecting containers possess the required training certification? (DoD 4500.9-R, Chapter 604, Paragraph B.2; MCO 4690.1A, Chapter 2, Paragraph 3.h.2.a; MIL-STD-3037, Paragraph 5.1.2).
LOE: Miscellaneous Accounting

Yes

3 records reviewed during the analysis were compliant with current directives.

6. Are containers examined for serviceability IAW MIL-STD-3037? (CDR USTransCom Message 081931Z MAY 17; DoD 4500.9-R, Chapter 604, Paragraph C.1; MCO 4690.1A, Chapter 2, Paragraph 3.h). LOE: Miscellaneous Accounting

No

The Headquarters Battery CCO was not aware that the outlying site ISO containers were within his purview. Therefore, he did not review JCM records and inspection documents.

6 of 46 records reviewed during the analysis were discrepant for one or more causes.

The cause(s) is/are listed below and are followed by an explanation of the discrepant record(s).

6 record(s): Containers were not examined IAW MIL-STD-3037.

USMC5071553, USMC5071569, USMC5071595, USMC5071635, USMC5071640,
USMC5071656

7. Is the ISO container inspection documentation accurately completed IAW MIL-STD-3037? (DoD 4500.9-R, Chapter 604, Paragraph D.4.a; MCO 4690.1A, Chapter 2, Paragraph 1). LOE: Miscellaneous Accounting

No

The Headquarters Battery CCO was not aware that the outlying site ISO containers were within his purview. Therefore, he did not review JCM records and inspection documents.

7 of 46 records reviewed during the analysis were discrepant for one or more causes.

The cause(s) is/are listed below and are followed by an explanation of the discrepant record(s).

1 record(s): The DA Form 2404 was not accurate.

USMU0422118

3 record(s): Both the ISO container checklist and DA Form 2404 were not accurate.

USMC5071553, USMC5071569, USMC5071595,

3 record(s): The ISO container checklist was missing and the DA Form 2404 was missing the inspector signature in block 8a.

USMC5071635, USMC5071640, USMC5071656

8. Is the CCO reporting the condition of all containers in the DoD ISO registry? (DoD 4500.9-R, Chapter 604, Paragraph B.1; MCO 4690.1A, Chapter 2, Paragraphs 3.f.2 and 3.f.2.e). LOE: Miscellaneous Accounting

No

The Headquarters Battery CCO was not aware that the outlying site ISO containers were within his purview. Therefore, he did not review JCM records and inspection documents.

6 of 46 records reviewed during the analysis were discrepant for one or more causes.

The cause(s) is/are listed below and are followed by an explanation of the discrepant record(s).

6 record(s): Due to documentation missing in the Joint Container Management system (JCM). The condition recorded within JCM could not be validated.

USMC5071553, USMC5071569, USMC5071595, USMC5071635, USMC5071640, USMC5071656,

9. Is the container inspection documentation uploaded in the DoD ISO registry IAW MIL-STD-3037? (DoD 4500.9-R, Chapter 604, Paragraph C.1; MCO 4690.1A, Chapter 2, Paragraph 3.a.5; MIL-STD-3037, Paragraph 5.4.4). LOE: Miscellaneous Accounting

No

The Headquarters Battery CCO was not aware that the outlying site ISO containers were within his purview. Therefore, he did not review JCM records and inspection documents.

5 of 46 records reviewed during the analysis were discrepant for one or more causes.

The cause(s) is/are listed below and are followed by an explanation of the discrepant record(s).

5 record(s): The ISO container inspection checklist was not uploaded in the DoD ISO container registry.

USMC5071553, USMC5071569, USMC5071635, USMC5071640, USMC5071656

10. Is a current DD form 2282 affixed to the data plates of all reported serviceable containers? (DoD 4500.9-R, Chapter 604, Paragraphs D.2, D.4.b and c; MCO 4690.1A, Chapter 2, Paragraph 3.a.6; MIL-STD-3037, Paragraph 5.4.2 and Figure 87). LOE: Miscellaneous Accounting

Yes

22 records reviewed during the analysis were compliant with current directives.